

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOGUTES				
09/449,699	11/24/1999		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/449,099		KATSUNORI TSUTSUMI	990723/LH	6591			
7590 12/18/2003 FRISHAUF HOLTZ GOODMAN LANGER & CHICK 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER BASHORE, WILLIAM L				
						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
						NEW YORK, 1	NY 10017
					•	DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	<i>6</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_			
₹		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
	de de la caración de	09/44	19,699	TSUTSUMI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit	
			m L. Bashore	2176	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
THE - Extending after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above; the maximum specified above, the maximum specified above and the specified above and the specified above.	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply e statutory minimum of thirty (30 and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communic	ation.
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 25 August 2	003.		
		2b)⊡ This action i		<i>f</i> - + - + -	
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	n for allowance exc	ept for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merit 1, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
Dispositi	on of Claims				4
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 12-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from			
Applicati	on Papers				•
10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the specific terms.	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(g the correction is red	(s) be held in abeyance. quired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		· ·		
a)∑ * S 13)□ A sii 37 a) 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim force a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign lancknowledgment is made of a claim of the foreign lancknowledgment is made of a claim of the ference was included in the first sentence.	documents have to documents have to of the priority document Bureau (PCT for for a list of the color domestic priority and in the first senter anguage provisional for domestic priority or domestic priority or domestic priority	peen received. Deen received in Appliaments have been received in Appliaments have been received 17.2(a)). Detrified copies not received under 35 U.S.C. § 12 note of the specification has been a under 35 U.S.C. §§ 2	cation No eived in this National Stage eived. 19(e) (to a provisional applic n or in an Application Data S received. 120 and/or 121 since a spec	Sheet.
Attachment	• •				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	-•

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed 8/25/2003, to the original application, priority papers, and preliminary amendment, all filed November 24, 1999. Application claims benefit of priority filing dates: 12/24/1998, and 12/22/1998.
- 2. It is respectfully noted that Charles Bieneman is no longer examining in Art Unit 2176. The new examiner of record for this case is William L. Bashore. Please update future correspondence accordingly.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Warmus has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 5. The rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warmus and Aoyagi has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 6. The rejection of claims 6-10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warmus has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 7. Claims 12-21 are pending. Claims 1-11 have been canceled. Claims 12-21 have been added. Claims 12, 14, 17, 19, 20, 21 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2176

9. Claims 12, 14-17, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warmus et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,327,599 B1 issued 12/4/2001.

In regard to independent claim 12, Warmus et al. teach converting means for converting a plurality of documents formed by application programs into page document data to be outputted every page and storage means for SPOOL-storing each of the page document data converted by the converting means. (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 41-48: "The master and variable page files and the press command file are converted by a collator and raster image processor (RIP) into bitmaps which may be stored in a memory. The stored bitmaps are used to control one or more demand printers and/or any other type of display device, such as a laser printer, a CRT, an LCD display or the like so that the device displays pages having fixed and variable information thereon.")

Further, Warmus et al. teach output-subject setting means for designating desirable page document data as output-subject document data SPOOL-stored in said storage means (Warmus et al., col. 8, lines 9-12: "A database 108 is also developed by the publisher using the personal computer 54 specifying the content of variable information to be placed in variable information areas, for example, the areas 110, 112 on the pages P1, P4, respectively, of FIGS. 6a and 6b."), and for setting an output condition when each of said designated output-subject document data is outputted. (Warmus et al., col. 9, lines 57-59: "Following the block 158, a block 160 prompts the user to enter an indication of whether the image object is to be displayed in one of two display formats.")

Further, Warmus et al. teach output control means for outputting page document data designated from the respective page document data SPOOL-stored in the storage means based on the contents designated/set by the output-subject setting means in accordance with said output condition. (Warmus et al., col. 8, line 57 – col. 9, line3: "The files 130, 132 are then converted into variable page files 134, 136. The files 134, 136 are identical to the files 130, 132, respectively, except that the data in each file identifying entries in the database are replaced by the actual data stored at such entries. . . . The print system 79 operates in response to the press commands in a press command file 140 and merges the page files 122, 137 and 138 (if no imposition is to be effected) or merges the page files 124 and 139 (if the pages are imposed) to create the finished books or book versions.").

Art Unit: 2176

Warmus et al. teach output-subject setting means forming a virtual document file and setting both designation information and an output condition inasmuch as Warmus et al. teach a bitmap equivalent to a virtual document file (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 41-43) that would have inherently included designation information (i.e., what was included in the file) and an output condition (i.e., the format and order in which content was outputted).

Further, Warmus et al. teach outputting page document data in accordance with the output condition, and the outputted page document data is designated from the respective page document data SPOOL stored in the storage means based upon the content which is set by the output-subject setting means as the storage information in the virtual document file. (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 43-47: "The stored bitmaps are used to control one or more demand printers and/or any other type of display device, such as a laser printer, a CRT, an LCD display or the like so that the device displays pages having fixed and variable information thereon.")

Warmus et al. does not teach arbitrarily setting a desirable portion as a sequential number information adding area as an output condition when the designated data is outputted and adding (amending) a sequential number to every page to the area set as the sequential number information adding area. However, inasmuch as Warmus et al. teaches formatting a book (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 18-20), and it was well known in the art that book pages advantageously contain page numbers, the recited claim limitations would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, providing a user of Warmus the benefit of sequential page numbering to aid in finding pages.

In regard to independent claim 14, claim 14 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 12, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Warmus et al. teaches converting the document data formed by the application program into image (graphics) page document data to be outputted. (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 41-48.)

Further, Warmus et al. teaches SPOOL-storing into storage means a plurality of image (graphics) page document data converted by the converting means. (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 41-43.).

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent claim 15, Warmus et al. teach converting documents into page document data so as to be printed out as to each page. (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 46-48.)

Further, Warmus et al. inherently teach SPOOL-storing page document data in correspondence with the virtual print designating document inasmuch as Warmus et al. teach printing page document data as noted above and such storage would have been necessary in order to get the right content on the page for which it was intended.

Further, Warmus et al. do not explicitly teach designating desirable page document data as print-outsubject document data. However, it was well known in the art to designate some pages and not others for
printing, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that this feature gave the user the flexibility
to print only the content the user wished to see. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill
in the art to designate desirable page document data as print-out-subject document data, and it further would
have been obvious to set a print output condition when each of the designated print-out-subject document data
was printed out because one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of being able to
format the document for printing.

Further, Warmus et al. teach printing the document data (Warmus et al. col. 5, lines 43-48), and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to print the designated page document data in accordance with the print output condition because one of ordinary skill would have recognized that if the user had selected certain page document data, the user would want to print just that data according to whatever output conditions had been set.

In regard to dependent claim 16, Warmus et al. teach output-subject setting means forming a virtual document file and setting both designation information and an output condition inasmuch as Warmus et al. teach a bitmap equivalent to a virtual document file (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 41-43) that would have inherently included designation information (*i.e.*, what was included in the file) and an output condition (*i.e.*, the format and order in which content was outputted).

Art Unit: 2176

Further, Warmus et al. teach outputting page document data in accordance with the output condition, and the outputted page document data is designated from the respective page document data SPOOL stored in the storage means based upon the content which is set by the output-subject setting means as the storage information in the virtual document file. (Warmus et al., col. 5, lines 43-47: "The stored bitmaps are used to control one or more demand printers and/or any other type of display device, such as a laser printer, a CRT, an LCD display or the like so that the device displays pages having fixed and variable information thereon.")

In regard to independent claim 17, claim 17 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 12, and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 19, claim 19 reflects the computer program product comprising computer executable instructions used for implementing the apparatus as claimed in claim 12, and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 20, claim 20 reflects the computer program product comprising computer executable instructions used for implementing the apparatus as claimed in claim 14, and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 21, claim 21 reflects the computer program product comprising computer executable instructions used for implementing the apparatus as claimed in claim 17, and is rejected along the same rationale.

10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warmus et al. as presented in claim 12 above, and further in view of Aoyagi, U.S. Patent Number 5,88,103 issued 12/24/1996.

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent claim 13, Warmus et al. do not specifically teach masking an area for a page number and adding another page number based upon a virtual document. However, Aoyagi suggests such a limitation by pointing out the benefits of suppressing unnecessary details. (Aoyagi, col. 1, lines 15-23.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have output-subject setting means arbitrarily setting a desirable portion contained in Warmus's page document data (i.e. page numbering) as an output-suppressing area.

Further, given the benefits of using a mask taught by Aoyagi, noted above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the output control means outputting as a mask such data corresponding to the area set as the output-suppressing area by the output-subject setting means.

11. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warmus et al. as presented in claim 12 above, and further in view of Kanerva et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,470,363 issued October 22, 2002 (previously cited reference).

In regard to dependent claim 18, Warmus does not specifically teach icons. However, Kanerva teaches Microsoft Office Binder, which utilizes icons reflecting various sections of a document (Kanerva et al. Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Kanerva to Warmus, providing a user of Warmus the benefit of icons for easy manipulation of document sections.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/2003 have been fully and carefully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are substantially directed to a discussion of the claimed invention, and the cited art of reference. Applicant argues on page 12 of the amendment that the cited art does not teach various applications. It is respectfully noted that Warmus et al. teach converting means for converting a plurality of

Art Unit: 2176

documents formed by application programs into page document data to be outputted every page and storage means for SPOOL-storing each of the page document data converted by the converting means.

Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Bashore whose telephone number is (703) 308-5807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11:30 AM to 8:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached on (703) 305-9792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2176

15. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703-872-9306) (for formal/after-final communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

William L. Bashore Patent Examiner, AU 2176 December 12, 2003

> JOSEPH H. FEILD PRIMARY EXAMINER